

## State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation CN 028 Trenton, NJ 08625-0028 Tel. # 609-633-7141 Fax. # 609-777-4285

Scott A. Weiner Commissioner

Karl J. Delaney Director

AUG 2 1 1991

## CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Edward Hogan, Esq. Porzio, Bromberg & Newman 163 Madison Avenue Morristown, NJ 07960

Dear Mr. Hogan:

RE: Hexcel Corp. - Industrial Chemicals Group ("Hexcel")
Lodi Borough, Bergen County
ECRA Case #86009
Superior Court Decision

As you may know, on May 6, 1991 the Appellate Division of the Superior Court found that "that portion of N.J.A.C. 7:26B-1.3, which requires that a Environmental Cleanup Responsibility Act (ECRA) Cleanup Plan include procedures for remedying contamination from the industrial establishment which exists off-site, on properties not owned by the operator of the establishment..." invalid.

In Re Adoption of N.J.A.C. 7:26-B; Public Interest Research Group of New Jersey, et al. v. DEP, N.J. Super. (App. Div. 1991). On May 28, 1991 the Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") asked the Supreme Court of New Jersey to review the Appellate Division's decision on this issue. On May 28, 1991 DEP also asked the Appellate Division to stay its decision on this issue pending a final determination by the Supreme Court. On July 2, 1991 the Appellate Division granted a stay of its decision "as to existing cleanup orders, plans or agreements in force on May 6, 1991". A copy of the Appellate Division's Order is enclosed for your information.

As you are aware, there was an existing Cleanup Plan for the above referenced facility as of May 6, 1991. Accordingly, pursuant to the court's order of July 2, 1991 you must continue to comply with all the requirements of your approved Cleanup Plan including any off-site requirements. We will keep you advised of any further developments in this matter. In the meantime, however, you must comply with the terms of your Cleanup Plan approval in accordance with the time frame specified in the approved schedule. In the event that you are not in compliance with the off-site requirements in your approved schedule, a revised schedule shall be submitted no later than September 16, 1991.



New Jersey Is an Equal Opportunity Employer Recycled Paper

Should you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Gary Sanderson, your assigned case manager at (609) 633-7141.

Sincerely,

Jevin J. J

Kenneth T. Hart, Assistant Director Industrial Site Evaluation Element

Enclosure

c. William Nosil, Hexcel Joseph Ritchey, Heritage

7,0405-6171 2521-87T' - - asaa - 87T1 2-2503-8771 11-2524-8771 1,-364-8973

ORDER ON MOTION

ADOPTION

RE N.J.S.C. 7:253

APPELLATE DIVISION

RECU

DOCKET NO - A-2403-C7T1 A-2521-87T1 A-2522-87T1 A-2523-87T1, A-2524-87T1

> A-364-89T3 MOTION NO. M-5250-90

APPELLATE DIVISION

BEFORE PART: B JUDGES(S): KING

COHEN STERN

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

191 8 1991

May 28, 1991 MOTION FILED:

ANSWER(S) FILED:

June 12, 1991

SUBMITTED TO COURT: July 1, 1991

BY:

BY: PICCO, MACK HERBERT etc.

BY:

## ORDER

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN DULY PRESENTED TO THE COURT, IT IS ON THIS 2nd DAY OF July, 1991 HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

MOTION BY RESPONDENT NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMNENTAL PRO-TECTION FOR STAY PENDING PETITION FOR CERTIFICATION

GRANTED	DENIED	OTHER
ххх		ххх

SUPPLEMENTAL: A stay pending the petition for certification is granted only as to existing cleanup orders, plans or agreements presently in force and relating to off-site contamination in force on May 6, 1991.

> No stay is granted as to any cleanup orders, agreements or plans implemented from the date of our . opinion, May 6, 1991.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in my office.

FOR THE COURT: